

## SAMPLE REPORT

All names, case numbers, dates, and identifying details in this report are entirely fictional. This sample demonstrates the structure, depth, and citation format of a CaseBrake analysis.

# Transcript vs. Filing Analysis

3 hearings analyzed | 347 pages of sworn testimony | 89 filings cross-referenced

<b>3</b> Hearings Analyzed	<b>347</b> Transcript Pages	<b>89</b> Filings Cross-Referenced	<b>12</b> Contradictions Found
-------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

## Hearings Analyzed

Hearing	Court	Pages	Key Issues
Temporary Orders	Family Court	142	Custody, income, living situation
Emergency Motion	Family Court	87	Mental health allegations, child safety
Modification	Family Court	118	Parenting time, relocation, compliance

Methodology: AI agents read each transcript simultaneously. Every sworn statement was extracted, categorized by topic, tagged with page and line numbers, and cross-referenced against every written filing submitted by the same party.

## Testimony Contradiction 1: Income Under Oath vs. Financial Disclosures

### Direct Examination:

*"Q: What is your current annual income?"*

*A: Roughly fifty-five thousand a year. That is all I bring home."*

### Cross Examination (confronted with bank records):

*"Q: Can you explain deposits totaling over \$118,000 in the last twelve months?"*

*A: Some of that is... transfers between accounts. And gifts from family."*

### Modification Hearing (8 months later):

*"Q: Has your income changed?"*

*A: I got a raise. I now make about eighty-four thousand."*

**CaseBrake Finding:** Three different income figures under oath across two hearings. Neither matched the two sworn affidavits. When confronted with bank records showing \$118K, the explanation shifted to "transfers and gifts" — neither documented in any disclosure.

## Testimony Contradiction 2: Mental Health Claims vs. Medical Evidence

---

### Direct Examination:

*"Q: Do you have concerns about [Respondent]'s mental health?"*

*A: Yes. She has been hospitalized. At least twice that I know of."*

### Cross Examination:

*"Q: Which hospital?"*

*A: I... I don't recall the name.*

*Q: What year?"*

*A: I believe... sometime before we separated.*

*Q: So before 2022?"*

*A: I think so, yes."*

### Medical Records:

Certified records from every hospital system in the county: zero psychiatric admissions for [Respondent]. Only visit was ER for a workplace injury (broken wrist).

Source: Exhibits H and I — Certified Medical Records and Therapist Letter

**CaseBroke Finding:** Under cross-examination, [Petitioner] could not name the hospital, the year, or any detail. Certified records show no psychiatric admissions. This fabricated claim separated [Respondent] from the children for seven weeks.

# Testimony Contradiction 3: Parenting Time Compliance

---

## Direct Examination:

*"Q: Have you complied with the parenting schedule?"*

*A: One hundred percent. I have never missed a single pickup or dropoff."*

Transcript: Modification Hearing | Page 55, Lines 19-21

## Cross Examination (confronted with OFW logs):

*"Q: I'm showing you Exhibit L. Can you explain seven documented no-shows?"*

*A: Those are... schedule changes we agreed on verbally.*

*Q: Verbal agreements not documented anywhere?"*

*A: We talked on the phone.*

*Q: OFW shows [Respondent] sent you 42 messages. How many did you respond to?"*

*A: I... I don't recall."*

Transcript: Modification Hearing | Page 58, Lines 2-15

**CaseBrake Finding:** "One hundred percent" compliance under oath vs. 7 documented no-shows and 42 unanswered messages. When confronted, claimed verbal agreements that exist nowhere in the record. Directly contradicts his own motion claiming [Respondent] "refuses to communicate."

## Full Report Contains 12 Contradiction Categories

---

1. Income — three different figures under oath
  2. Mental health — fabricated hospitalizations
  3. Parenting compliance — 'one hundred percent' vs. 7 no-shows
  4. Living situation — lease contradicts testimony
  5. Criminal history — three convictions omitted
  6. Childcare — misrepresented arrangements
  7. School involvement — 2 of 14 events attended
  8. DUI timeline — minimized under oath
  9. Communication — refusal attributed to wrong party
  10. Relocation — moved without statutory notice
  11. Child's preference — contradicted by GAL
  12. Employment — undisclosed side income
-

## SAMPLE REPORT

This sample demonstrates the structure and citation depth of a CaseBrake analysis.  
Actual reports include full page and line citations for every finding.

### Ready to see what's in your case?

Upload your documents at [casebrake.com/scan](https://casebrake.com/scan) or request a free case assessment at  
[casebrake.com/contact](https://casebrake.com/contact)

CaseBrake is a litigation support service, not a law firm. We do not provide legal advice.  
All documents are treated as confidential and never shared with third parties.

[casebrake.com](https://casebrake.com) | [help@casebrake.com](mailto:help@casebrake.com) | (424) 427-2719